

**Exhibit 6C**

**August 13, 2014 Deposition Transcript of Stuart Ira Wohl (excerpted)**

In re: ) Chapter 9  
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, ) Case No. 13-53846  
Debtor. ) Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

Elisa Dreier Reporting Corp. (212) 557-5558  
950 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10022  
13-53846-tjt Doc 6990-8 Filed 08/22/14 Entered 08/22/14 17:03:01 Page 2 of 7

1                                   STUART IRA WOHL

2           team members actually took a prior deposition and  
3           copied into for the background for my introduction  
4           about myself.

5       Q.   It's fair to say that the work done by your team  
6           was at your direction, right?

7       A.   Correct.

8       Q.   And did you have an opportunity to review the  
9           final report before it was served on the other  
10          parties in this case?

11      A.   I reviewed it and then signed it.

12      Q.   Got it.  And so it's -- it's fair to say that you  
13          had an opportunity -- you had the last  
14          opportunity to make changes; is that right?

15      A.   Correct.

16      Q.   Is there anything today after having completed  
17          and -- and signed the report, is there anything  
18          today that you want to change about the report?

19      A.   No.

20      Q.   Is it fair to say you stand behind the report  
21          completely?

22      A.   Yes.

23      Q.   And you understand that when you submitted your  
24          report, it was important for your report to  
25          contain all of your opinions that you -- all of

1                                   STUART IRA WOHL

2           the opinions you were providing in connection  
3           with this case?

4       A.    Yes.

5       Q.    Which is to say, you are not providing opinions  
6           other than what is contained in your report,  
7           correct?

8       A.    Correct.

9       Q.    And when you prepared the report, you made your  
10          best efforts to assure that the opinions and data  
11          contained in the report are accurate and  
12          truthful, correct?

13      A.    Yes.

14      Q.    If you -- if you can, would you give me a brief  
15          summary of the opinions that you're offering in  
16          this case?

17                           MR. BARNOWSKI:  Object to form.

18      A.    I am offering an opinion that says that the  
19          plan -- the POA requires significant cutbacks and  
20          benefits of retirees, and even within the POA,  
21          there is further risk that aspects that are being  
22          relied upon in the POA may not come to fruition.

23      BY MR. BERNBROCK:

24      Q.    Any other opinions that you're offering like  
25          macro opinions?

1 STUART IRA WOHL

2 MR. BARNOWSKI: Object to form.

3 BY MR. BERNBROCK:

4 Q. Other than that?

5 A. I also describe the impact of some individual  
6 class members, just to show the impact how -- the  
7 changes could impact the expense, I think it's for  
8 six or seven folks, which are just one very small  
9 subset of the 17,000 retirees and their 7,000,  
10 8,000 dependents.

11 Q. And you're not offering your opinions in this  
12 case to demonstrate the hardship on retirees, are  
13 you?

14 MR. BARNOWSKI: Object to form.

15 A. I think I said that, that significant cuts in  
16 benefits are hardships for retirees.

17 BY MR. BERNBROCK:

18 Q. Okay. The -- we're going to do this -- this  
19 laundry list again of things that you're not, and  
20 you'll pardon me, I hope. I want to be clear  
21 that you are not offering opinions about the  
22 General Retirement System or the Police and Fire  
23 Retirement System Pensions; is that correct?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. You are not offering an opinion regarding the

1

STUART IRA WOHL

2       claims held by the pension systems; is that  
3       correct?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. You are not offering your opinion as to whether  
6 the POA contains unfair discrimination as that  
7 term is understood under the bankruptcy laws?

8 MR. BARNOWSKI: Object to form.

9 BY MR. BERNBROCK:

10 Q. Is that correct?

11      A.      Correct.

12 Q. You're not offering an opinion that the plan --  
13 the POA is fair and equitable as that term is  
14 used in connection with bankruptcy law?

15 MR. BARNOWSKI: Object to form.

16      A.    Correct.

17 BY MR. BERNBROCK:

18 Q. You're not offering an opinion that the plan --  
19 the POA -- I'm going to do that all day.

20       A.    I got mine right before.

21 Q. You did, I know.

22 MR. BARNOWSKI: Then it's definitely  
23 not simplifying things here.

24 MR. BERNBROCK: Yeah, that's right.

25 BY MR. BERNBROCK:

1 STUART IRA WOHL

2 Q. You're not offering any legal opinion as to  
3 whether the plan -- POA is in the best interest  
4 of creditors, correct?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. You're not offering any legal opinion regarding  
7 whether the POA has been proposed in good faith,  
8 correct?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And you're not offering an opinion to demonstrate  
11 that the POA has satisfied the confirmation  
12 standards under Chapter 9 or Chapter 11 of the  
13 bankruptcy code, correct?

14 MR. BARNOWSKI: Object to form.

15 A. Correct. Correct.

16 BY MR. BERNBROCK:

17 Q. So if we could, sir, just turning to your report  
18 and how I intend to proceed, so that you have an  
19 idea here, is I really want to just walk through  
20 the various sections of your report, and ask  
21 questions along the way, ask you to explain  
22 various things in your report. I think that your  
23 report lends itself to a sort of chronological  
24 review and so that's -- that's what I'm going to  
25 do.